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Abstract:

The Geography of Black Economic Progress After Slavery
Lukas Althoff, Princeton University
Hugo Reichardt, London School of Economics

This paper studies the long-run effects of institutionalized oppression on racial inequality in the US. We show that today, the socioeconomic status of Black Americans is lower than that of white Americans in large part due to historical oppression. We trace a family's records from 1850 to 2000 and measure their exposure to slavery and Jim Crow. We then first document that Black families who were enslaved until 1865 continue to have considerably lower education, income, and wealth. Second, we show this persistence is entirely driven by post-slavery oppression under Jim Crow. To do so, we use a regression discontinuity design that compares the outcomes of families who were freed across state borders with more or less stringent Jim Crow laws. We find that states with more oppressive regimes sharply reduced Black economic progress in the long run. Black families' limited access to human capital under Jim Crow was a key mechanism. Using quasi-experimental variation, we show that providing school access led to large increases in human capital with sizable intergenerational spillovers.
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Abstract:

Discrimination Against Doctors: A Field Experiment

Discrimination against doctors is important but scantly studied. I report a field experiment which
observes that customers discriminate against Black and Asian doctors when they choose healthcare providers, and that this can be substantially reduced by supplying information on physician quality. I evaluate customer preferences in the field with an online platform where cash-paying consumers can shop and book a provider for medical procedures based on a novel experimental paradigm. Actual paying customers evaluate doctor options they know to be hypothetical to be matched with a customized menu of real doctors, preserving incentives. Racial discrimination reduces patient willingness-to-pay for Black and Asian doctors by 12.7% and 8.7% of the average colonoscopy price respectively; customers are willing to travel 100-250 miles to see a white doctor instead of a Black doctor, and somewhere between 50-100 to 100-250 miles to see a white doctor instead of an Asian doctor. Providing signals of doctor quality reduces this willingness-to-pay racial gap by about 90%. Willingness-to-pay penalties on minority doctors are multiples of actual average racial quality differences and even the difference between doctors in highest and lowest quality levels. This field evidence shifts the focus beyond traditional taste-based and statistical discrimination to include behavioral mechanisms like biased beliefs and deniable prejudice. Discrimination against Black doctors are higher for non-college-graduate customers and residents in zip codes that voted for the 2020 presidential candidate on the political right. Actual booking behavior allows cross-validation of incentive compatibility of the stated preference elicitation.
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Abstract:

**Why Did Interstate Highways Increase Inequality in Cities? Segregation, Institutional Exclusion, and Racial Disparities**

This paper investigates how urban interstate roads impacted welfare by race and education and their interaction with exclusionary institutions that segregated neighborhoods in American cities. I develop a general equilibrium framework to study the commuting benefits and localized costs of highways, and estimation draws upon newly digitized maps of historical urban roads and group-specific commute flows from restricted Census microdata in 1960 and 1970 for 25 cities. Embedding a border discontinuity design into residential choice conditions with redlining maps delineating exclusive neighborhoods, I find that exclusionary institutions contribute to the spatial concentration of the Black population. Sorting on housing prices and preferences for racial composition only partially account for their segregation. In contrast, institutions play no role in White residential locations. Highways lower welfare for the less-educated Black population (-1%) as localized costs more than offset commuting benefits, and they raise welfare for the higher-educated White population (5%), increasing inequality. In a counterfactual simulation where exclusionary barriers are removed, Black households reside less in the path of highway construction and their welfare changes are on net higher. These results highlight how institutions determine the spatial distribution of racial groups and the disparate incidence to place-based shocks such as infrastructure.